

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE SEL 213** 3944 09/685,698 10/10/2000 Shunpei Yamazaki **EXAMINER** 26568 07/12/2005 COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD SCHILLINGER, LAURA M **SUITE 2850** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606 2813

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					H	
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	+	
*		09/685,698	٠	YAMAZAKI ET AL	•	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Laura M. Schillin		2813		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	ation appears on the cove	r sheet with the d	correspondence ad	dress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, usions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how ication. 1ays, a reply within the statutory mile tory period will apply and will expire I, by statute, cause the application to the statute.	ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>r.</i> ommunication.	
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 <i>April 2005</i></u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-fin	al.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-1 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3,9,15 and 47-49 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	<u>4,16-46 and 50-55</u> is/are ted.		consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objecti					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to the oath or declaration is objected to be					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation.	ocuments have been reconcuments have been recontents the priority documents hall Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Applica ave been receiv 2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Info	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Per No(s)/Mail Date 2/11/05.	TO/SB/08) 5) <u></u>	Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal Other:		O-152)	
IS Patent and	Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/685,698

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 50-55 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims 50-55 constitute a separate and distinct species from that of originally elected claims

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 50-55 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 3, 9, 15 and 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al ('887)

The applied reference has a common inventor/assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived

Application/Control Number: 09/685,698

Art Unit: 2813

from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

In reference to claims 3 and 47, Yamazaki teaches a device comprising:

a pixel portion having a plurality of gate wirings (Fig. 1 (107 and 113-and Fig. 26 has the same source wirings but not labeled), a plurality of source wirings (Fig. 26 (4139a-b, 4137))

intersecting said plurality of gate wirings(Fig. 26 (4139a-b, 4137), a plurality of banks provided over said plurality of gate wirings (Fig. 26 (4144b)), at least one thin film transistor (Fig. 26 (4102) surrounded by said plurality of gate wirings and said plurality of said source wirings, and an EL element (Fig. 26 (4105) electrically connected to said thin film transistor (Fig. 26 (4102), wherein said pixel portion comprises a plurality of pixel rows (Fig. 26 (4143)) divided along said plurality of banks, and

wherein said plurality of pixel rows comprise a first pixel row in which a red light emitting layer is formed, a second pixel row in which a green light emitting layer is formed, and a third pixel row in which a blue light emitting layer is formed (Col.32, lines: 35-50 and Fig.27 A and B) and

wherein each of the plurality of pixel rows comprises at least two pixels and a light emitting layer covering the two pixels (Col.32, lines: 45-55).

In reference to claims 9 and 48, Yamazaki teaches wherein said red light emitting layer, said green light emitting layer and said blue light emitting layer comprise high molecular organic EL materials (Col.32, lines: 35-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/685,698

Art Unit: 2813

In reference to claims 15 and 49, Yamazaki teaches wherein said EL display device is incorporated into an electronic device selected from the group consisting of a video camera, a digital camera, a goggle type display, a car navigation system, an audio reproducing device, a personal computer, a game equipment, and a portable information terminal (Col.37, lines: 10-20).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/11/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Yamazaki fails to teach a pixel row with at least two pixels; however such an argument is not persuasive because a pixel row is in fact a row of pixels which is more than one pixel. Moreover, the light emitting layer is formed over the pixels (Col.32, lines; 35-45).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2813

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Xaeeea Wifeley

Laura M Schillinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813